

As this Congress continues to debate issues of health care and the proper role of the government in the industry, I urge my colleagues to look at this center as a model for efficient community-based solutions.

Thomas Jefferson once said that "Health is worth more than learning." It is true. But we can all learn from projects like the Mother Bachmann Maternity Center about what it takes and how to provide health and health care for our most at-risk constituents.

Mr. Speaker, I'm proud to rise today to honor the Mother Bachmann Maternity Center as it celebrates 20 years of providing families in need with important health and human services.

□ 1040

GLASS-STEAGALL AND THE ANNIVERSARY OF THE STOCK MARKET CRASH OF 1929

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Ohio (Ms. KAPTUR) for 5 minutes.

Ms. KAPTUR. Mr. Speaker, this week marks the 82nd anniversary of Black Thursday, the start of the great stock market crash of 1929. On that day, rampant Wall Street speculation that had characterized the Roaring Twenties came to an abrupt end. Our country learned many valuable lessons about the banking system and took action to contain the severe risks of an unregulated banking system. This body passed the Banking Act of 1933, commonly called Glass-Steagall, named after the lead sponsors of the bill. Well, from the shape of our economy today, it appears the U.S. forgot important lessons of economic behavior.

The banking system we have today again is too risky, too concentrated, and with too much absentee ownership. As a result, our system of credit is seized up and also less competitive. This results in lower capital formation in our local communities, which translates into fewer jobs.

Our system also has become one that does not financially empower or reward the average depositor. Consumers know that their interests on certificates of deposit have fallen to all-time lows; yet we see banking fees increasing on all kinds of transactions. Yes, it almost seems like you have to pay the banks to take your money. Money center banks, meanwhile, are earning huge profits while tightly restricting loans and hindering our economic recovery.

The U.S. has far fewer banks and savings and loan institutions today than we did a decade and a half ago. In fact, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation's figures show our vast Nation has only 6,414 commercial banks today, half the number that existed in 1990. In addition, 856 banks are on the FDIC's watch list, a very high figure. Moreover, 60 percent of the savings institutions have disappeared over the same period of time.

We see enormous accumulation of banking assets and vast financial

power moved to a handful of powerful institutions that are making enormous profits, indeed, the highest profits in our Nation in addition to the oil companies. Fifteen years ago, the assets of the six largest banks were approximately 17 percent of gross domestic product. Today, after the recent financial panic, estimates for assets of those same banks are over half of our gross domestic product. So six financial institutions control an enormous percentage, not just of our banking system but, indeed, our economy and, in turn, our Nation's future. This is too much power in too few hands. The American people are feeling it in the restriction of credit, the lack of jobs with sluggish growth, and the lack of competitive capital opportunities.

Over a decade ago, Congress' ultimate response to the stock market crash of 1929 was abolished. Yes, the law that had separated risky Wall Street speculations from prudent community banking—the Glass-Steagall Act—was obliterated by the conference committee on the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act. That legislation became law and created an economic time bomb that started ticking and contributed in a major way to the economic explosion in September 2008.

Financial abandon replaced prudence. Wall Street and its supporters in Congress became obsessed with stripping away all the prudent banking rules that were once the cornerstone of what had been a stable financial system. That system formed capital, protected consumer accounts, paid them a decent return on their money, and created the greatest period of growth in American history. That system built confidence, dependability, and wealth across our economy.

Wall Street lobbyists were eager to walk back the hands of time, falsely claiming the Banking Act of 1933—that had formed the basis of stable credit for half a century—was quaint and outdated. But when Graham-Leach-Bliley was signed into law, the protections that had separated prudent banking from risks were swept into the dust bin and financial calamity followed.

The Glass-Steagall protections are not outdated. Wall Street opposed them in the 1930s just as much as they do today. In the 1930s, it was the Pecora Commission—and we need another one—that was an instrument of this Congress that was charged with investigating Wall Street abuses in the banking system following the Great Depression. Their work is often credited with creating the momentum for passage of the Glass-Steagall Banking Act of 1933. And Pecora himself wrote that "bitterly hostile was Wall Street to the enactment of the regulatory legislation."

What is different today is how tamely Congress and the executive branch reacted to Wall Street abuse. Following the 2008 economic collapse, there was not an immediate recognition that what was needed was restoration of that sound financial framework.

Mr. Speaker, I have a bill, H.R. 1489, the Return to Prudent Banking Act. I ask my colleagues to cosponsor this bipartisan legislation. America surely needs to restore a secure, dependable, and prudent banking system so we can get on with the job of job creation.

INJUSTICE AT THE LAKE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Missouri (Mrs. HARTZLER) for 5 minutes.

Mrs. HARTZLER. Every day we hear of some new government overreach coming from Washington. Well, today I want to tell you about perhaps the biggest overreach of all—centered around a pristine, beautiful place in my district, the Lake of the Ozarks.

The Lake of the Ozarks was built in the 1930s and includes over 1,100 miles of shoreline and is home to thousands of homes and residents and tens of thousands of Americans who enjoy the beauty and the lifestyle of living on the lake. Every day you'll find families and people of all ages enjoying the waters and being with each other surrounded by God's beauty of the Ozark hills.

In the spring, we enjoy the Dogwood Festival there, when the hillsides are dotted with the whites and pinks of the dogwood amidst the lime green background of budding trees. In the fall, the hills are ablaze with the colors of autumn. There's something special about seeing it all from a boat on the lake, pulling up to one of the many marinas and restaurants to grab a bite to eat on the water, and then head back home as the sun sets over the water and the sky changes from orange to blue to star studded. The lake is a special place, and it is under attack. It is under attack from the Federal Government.

This summer, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission issued an order requiring the removal of over 4,000 what they call "encroachments" from around the shoreline of the lake, including over 1,200 homes. Think about that. The Federal Government has ordered the removal and destruction of over 1,200 homes—all that have free and clear title to their property and have been paying property taxes on them for decades. It's shocking. It's outrageous. It's infuriating. And it's got to be stopped.

You ask, how did this happen? The Lake of the Ozarks is a privately owned lake owned by Ameren UE. Power is generated from a hydroelectric plant at the lake's dam. FERC regulates the power plant and required Ameren to submit a shoreline management plan as part of a 40-year lease application for the continued operation of Bagnell Dam and the Osage Renewable Energy Center.

Ameren submitted the paperwork over 2 years ago; and after sitting on the application for over 2 years, this July FERC rejected their plan and substituted their own plan, which includes

an order requiring Ameren to remove as many as 4,000 out-of-compliance structures near the shoreline and within the boundary of the Bagnell Dam project.

Here's an example of some of the structures they say need to go. FERC stated the structures "should be removed in a timely manner and the site restored to preexisting conditions." This ludicrous order could result in the unnecessary removal of thousands of homes and other structures along over 1,100 miles of shoreline.

What makes this action so onerous is that the property owners have clear title to this land with an easement giving them a right to do with their property as they wish. The deeds issued in the 1930s when the lake was built also reserved a right for the landowners to utilize the lakeshore and adjoining underwater land for "any and all purposes," including "the erection and maintenance of improvements thereon."

FERC's order is nothing more than a public taking and it needs to be stopped. If it's not, it will be devastating to our area's economy, home values, businesses and, most importantly, devastating to the wonderful, hardworking people who have invested their life savings to live, raise a family, and retire at Missouri's beautiful Lake of the Ozarks.

□ 1050

The Lake of the Ozarks is one of the most popular tourist destinations, not only in Missouri, but across the Nation. It has homeowners from all 50 States of the union.

FERC's action could cause irreparable harm to the homeowners, boating, to fishing, water sports, and other business interests. It will cause uncertainty and fear that property values will plummet, and has already locked up the real estate market at the lake.

FERC's actions are causing the whole lake community to suffer economically. Economic downturn will lead to delays in much-needed infrastructure repairs and will hurt schools, which depend on property taxes to provide our children with the quality education they deserve.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission is a prime example of an out-of-control government agency. It must be stopped. That's why on Monday, I introduced H.R. 3244. This bill will remove FERC's power to tell landowners that they must remove structures from around the lake.

I was joined by all of the other Missouri Members of the House of Representatives, five other Republicans, and three Democrats. Our two U.S. Senators, one Republican and one Democrat, introduced an identical bill in the other Chamber. This is a rare show of bipartisanship these days, which just shows how indefensible FERC's actions are.

We may disagree on other issues, but on this one we are united. Washing-

ton's overreach must be stopped. It's time to put the genie back in the bottle and ensure it doesn't wreak havoc on our lives, our lake, and our rights.

BREAST CANCER AWARENESS MONTH

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from California (Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD) for 5 minutes.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Mr. Speaker, as National Breast Cancer Awareness Month comes to a close, I rise to honor our breast cancer warriors who are bravely battling this deadly disease.

According to the American Cancer Society, approximately 2.6 million women and men are living with breast cancer in this country. It is estimated that this year alone there will be 290,000 new cases of breast cancer, and almost 40,000 patients will lose their battle with this disease.

Until 5 years ago I would hear these statistics, sympathize with personal stories of suffering from this tragic disease, and reaffirm my commitment to support finding a cure. But I never fully understood what it meant to have a family diagnosed with breast cancer until the day my sister, Lillian, called to tell me she had breast cancer. At that moment, I fully understood the personal sense of helplessness, anguish, and disbelief that had been described to me so many times before. Now I, too, found myself hoping and praying that I would wake up from the nightmare that was my sister's reality.

Like so many other breast cancer warriors, Lillian bravely confronted her cancer, determined to overcome her devastating illness and the intensely physical and deeply emotional challenge it presented. As my sister moves towards her fifth year free of cancer, there is much to be hopeful for.

From 1998 to 2007, breast cancer incidence rates in the U.S. decreased by about 2 percent a year, due in part, it is thought, to the reduced use of hormone replacement therapy. Since about 1990, death rates from breast cancer have also been declining, with larger decreases in women younger than 50.

While breast cancer is still the second leading cause of death in women, exceeded only by lung cancer, the chance that breast cancer will be responsible for a woman's death has been reduced to 1 in 36. These dramatic improvements in life expectancy are believed to be the result of earlier detection through screening and increased awareness, as well as improved treatment.

These improvements also stand as a testament to the investments Congress has made in prevention, screening, and researching new treatments for the disease. But they must not be the final frontier in our efforts to make breast cancer a disease of the past.

I was recently and personally reminded of this fact because once again, breast cancer has attacked someone

who is close to my heart. Earlier this summer, Monica, my longtime district office manager, was diagnosed with invasive breast cancer. She faced this unbelievable challenge with characteristic grace and strength.

With family, friends, and colleagues, she has been upfront and upbeat about her illness. And always a stylish dresser, she has donned a number of very fashionable head scarves.

After first undergoing several months of chemotherapy, last Friday Monica had successful surgery and is home recovering. I want her to know we are praying for her continued strength and speedy recovery.

Like so many other breast cancer warriors, Monica's extraordinary courage as she fights against her disease is an example of the power of the human spirit to survive, and it gives renewed fervor to my personal commitment to fight this disease.

As long as women in our country face a 1 in 8 chance of developing breast cancer, we must continue to invest in improved and earlier detection of the disease, better treatments, and educational outreach.

For Lillian, for Monica, and for my colleague who is here, DEBBIE WASSERMAN SCHULTZ, and for all our mothers, sisters, daughters, and friends, let us never abandon our fight to find a cure and finally eradicate breast cancer in our country and ultimately in the world.

PUTTING FREEDOM BACK TO WORK

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California (Mr. MCCLINTOCK) for 5 minutes.

Mr. MCCLINTOCK. Mr. Speaker, the government's continuing failure to address our Nation's gut-wrenching unemployment stems from a fundamental disagreement over how jobs are created in the first place.

We're now in the third year of policies predicated on the assumption that government spending creates jobs. We've squandered 3 years and trillions of dollars of the Nation's wealth on such policies, and they have not worked because they cannot work. Government cannot inject a single dollar into the economy until it's first taken that same dollar out of the economy.

True, we see the job that's saved or created when the government puts that dollar back into the economy. What we can't see as clearly is the jobs that are destroyed or prevented from forming because government has first taken that dollar out of the economy. We see those millions of lost jobs in a chronic unemployment rate and a stagnating economy.

Government can transfer jobs from the productive sector to the government sector by taking money from one and giving it to the other. That's at the heart of the President's plan to spend